

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,865	01/15/2002	Reuel S. Orocio		5116
7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER		
Jack C. Munro, Agent of Record			EDGAR, RICHARD A	
Suite 225 28720 Roadside Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Agoura Hills, (	CA 91301		3745	Ø
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,			IM\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,				
Office Action Cummans	10/047,865	OROCIO ET AL.	`				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard Edgar	3745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	sears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence addi	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this com  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on an a	mendment filed 03 Novem	<u>ıber 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicat							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 January 2002</u> is/are			•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Experience 25 U.S.C. \$5.440 and 430.	rammer. Note the attached	J Office Action of John PTC	<i>)</i> -132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		0.440(=) (4) (0)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fire 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the	ts have been received. Its have been received in A Its have been received. Its	received. § 119(e) (to a provisional ation or in an Application Deen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) ata Sheet. specific				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensiew S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 10/047,865

Art Unit: 3745

## Response to Arguments

Claims 3-6 have been canceled. Claims 1 and 2 are pending.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-5, filed 03 November 2003, with respect to the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) rejections (Nagai et al.) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 and 2 has been withdrawn.

#### Declaration

The Declaration filed on 03 November 2003 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Chapman reference (US 2002/0106277 A1). Applicants' Declaration states to have conceived of an invention prior to 04 December 2001. However, Chapman (US 2002/0106277 A1) claims priority to a provisional application filed on 04 December 2000. Therefore the evidence submitted by Applicants dated 31 October 2001 is more than 10 months after the effective filing date of United States Patent Application Publication US 2002/0106277 A1 (Chapman). See MPEP § 2136.03(III).

Applicants' are reminded that a proper Declaration filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131(b) must show conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference, together with due diligence from prior said effective date to the filing of the application.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,865

**Art Unit: 3745** 

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0106277 A1 (*Chapman* hereinafter) in view of United States Patent no. 5,927,947 issued to Botros.

Chapman discloses a pump impeller which is manufactured in a single molding operation comprising:

a series of vanes 12 having an outer end which is integrally mounted on a shroud 13, said shroud 13 having a centrally located annular inlet ring 17 which provides an inlet to an eye of said impeller, said annular inlet ring 17 protruding outwardly in a first direction from said shroud 13; and

a hub 11 integrally connected to an inner end of said vanes 12, said hub 11 having an integral sleeve connected thereto (see Figures 6-9), said sleeve protruding outwardly from said shroud in a second direction, said sleeve having an axis of rotation, said inlet ring having a longitudinal center axis, said axis of rotation being aligned with said longitudinal center axis, whereby rotation of said impeller produces essentially no vibration with said impeller rotating smoothly, efficiently and substantially noise-free.

Chapman does not show said second direction being opposite said first direction.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,865

Art Unit: 3745

Botros discloses a one-piece molded impeller comprising a drive sleeve which fits onto a shaft 34 of motor 32. The impeller also comprises an inlet ring 16 shrouding the blades 14, wherein the drive sleeve and inlet ring extend in opposite directions, thereby recessing the drive sleeve within the hub 18 for the purpose of providing the hub 18 with a smooth flow surface.

Since Chapman teaches a one-piece impeller and Botros teaches to improve flow characteristics of a one-piece impeller by extending the drive sleeve so that it is recessed within the hub, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the drive sleeve extension of Chapman to extend within the hub as taught by Botros for the purpose of providing the impeller hub with a smooth flow surface.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3745

nber: 10/047,865 Page 5

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0050. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate

Fridays 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0861.

Richard Edgår

Examiner

Art Unit 3745

RE

FOWARD K. LOO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** 

11/22/03